

Distinguishing Symplectic Blowups of \mathbb{CP}^2

Yael Karshon

University of Toronto

August 2013

Joint with Liat Kessler and Martin Pinsonnault

Recall \mathbb{CP}^n .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{2n+1} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{CP}^n & & \end{array} \quad \pi^* \omega_{\text{FS}} = i^* \omega_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

$n = 1$: $\mathbb{CP}^1 = S^2$, area $= \pi$.

$$\text{size} := \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{ area}$$

Symplectic blowup of size $\delta = \frac{r^2}{2}$:

$$B^{2n}(r + \epsilon) \hookrightarrow_{\text{symplectic}} M$$

The blowup $= (M \setminus B^{2n}(r)) / \sim$

$$= \left(M \setminus \overline{B^{2n}(r)} \right) \sqcup \underbrace{\partial B^{2n}(r) / S^1}_{\cong (\mathbb{CP}^{n-1}, r^2 \omega_{\text{FS}})}$$

Blowups of \mathbb{CP}^2 :

Fix $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{CP}^2$.

$M_k :=$ complex blowup of \mathbb{CP}^2 at p_1, \dots, p_k .

$$H_2(M_k) = \mathbb{Z}L \oplus \mathbb{Z}E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}E_k$$

$$L \cong \mathbb{CP}^1 \subset \mathbb{CP}^2$$

E_1, \dots, E_k exceptional divisors

Uniqueness of symplectic blowups of \mathbb{CP}^2 :

$\mathcal{SF}_{M_k} := \{ \text{symplectic forms } \omega \text{ on } M_k \text{ such that each of } L, E_1, \dots, E_k \text{ contains an embedded } \omega\text{-symplectic sphere} \}.$

Let $\omega, \omega' \in \mathcal{SF}_{M_k}$.

1. \exists diffeomorphism $\psi: M_k \rightarrow M_k$, trivial on H_2 , such that $\psi^*\omega$ and ω' are homotopic in \mathcal{SF}_{M_k} .
2. If $[\omega] = [\omega']$, \exists diffeomorphism $\psi: M_k \rightarrow M_k$, trivial on H_2 , such that $\psi^*\omega = \omega'$.

(McDuff)

Classical invariants of (\mathbf{M}_k, ω) for $\omega \in \mathcal{SF}_{\mathbf{M}_k}$:

Write $\omega = \omega_{\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}$ where

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_L \omega$$

$$\delta_j = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{E_j} \omega \quad j = 1, \dots, k$$

$$\omega\text{-volume} = \lambda^2 - \delta_1^2 - \dots - \delta_k^2 \quad \text{times} \quad \frac{(2\pi)^2}{2!}$$

$$\langle \omega, c_1 \rangle = 3\lambda - \delta_1 - \dots - \delta_k \quad \text{times} \quad 2\pi$$

Question: which symplectic blowups of \mathbb{CP}^2 are symplectomorphic?

Example:

	λ	δ_1	δ_2	δ_3
ω	15	9	5	4
ω'	12	6	2	1
ω''	11	4	1	1

$$(M_k, \omega) \cong (M_k, \omega') \not\cong (M_k, \omega'')$$

same classical invariants: $\text{volume} = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{2!} \cdot 103$

$$\langle \omega, c_1 \rangle = (2\pi) \cdot 27$$

Answer:

Definition: $(\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ *reduced* if

$$\begin{cases} \delta_1 \geq \dots \geq \delta_k, \text{ and} \\ \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 \leq \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Theorem: Given $(M_k, \omega_{\lambda'; \delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_k})$, there exists a unique reduced $(\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ such that

$(M_k, \omega_{\lambda'; \delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_k})$ is symplectomorphic to $(M_k, \omega_{\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k})$.

Recall: $(\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ is **reduced** if $\delta_1 \geq \dots \geq \delta_k$ and $\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 \leq \lambda$.

Existence of reduced form:

- ▶ Take $\omega = \omega_{\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}$. If $(\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ not reduced:

$$\text{defect} := \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 - \lambda$$

- ▶ Cremona:

$$\lambda \rightsquigarrow \lambda - \text{defect}$$

$$\delta_j \rightsquigarrow \delta_j - \text{defect} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, 3$$

$$\delta_j \rightsquigarrow \delta_j \quad \text{for } j = 4, \dots, k$$

- ▶ Repeat.

Exceptional classes with minimal area - definition.

Given $(M_k, \omega_{\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k})$, with $v = (\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ reduced.

Exceptional classes $:= \{ E \in H_2(M_k) \mid E \text{ contains an embedded } \omega\text{-symplectic sphere and } E \cdot E = -1 \}$.

$\mathcal{E}_{\min}(M_k, \omega) := \{ \text{exceptional classes of smallest } \omega\text{-area} \}$.

Recall: $(\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ is **reduced** if $\delta_1 \geq \dots \geq \delta_k$ and $\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_3 \leq \lambda$.

Cases:

(1) $\delta_1 \leq \lambda/3$, and

(1a) $\delta_k \leq \lambda/3$

(1b) $\delta_k = \lambda/3$

(2) $\delta_1 > \lambda/3$ and $\delta_2 \leq (\lambda - \delta_1)/2$, and

(2a) $\delta_k < (\lambda - \delta_1)/2$

(2b) $\delta_k = (\lambda - \delta_1)/2$

(3) $\delta_1 > \lambda/3$ and $\delta_2 > (\lambda - \delta_1)/2$, and

(3a) $\lambda - \delta_1 - \delta_2 > \delta_k$

(3b) $\lambda - \delta_1 - \delta_2 = \delta_k$

Exceptional classes with minimal area - theorem.

$\nu = (\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k)$ reduced, $\mathcal{E}_{\min} := \mathcal{E}_{\min}(M_k, \omega_{\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k})$.

$$\delta_1 \geq \dots \geq \delta_j > \delta_{j+1} = \dots = \delta_k.$$

Cases (1a), (2a), (3a): $\mathcal{E}_{\min} = \{E_{j+1}, \dots, E_k\}$.

Case (1b): $\nu = (\lambda; \lambda/3, \dots, \lambda/3)$. $k \leq 8$.

\mathcal{E}_{\min} = all exceptional classes. – listed by Demazure.

Case (2b): $\nu = (\lambda; \delta_1, \lambda_F/2, \dots, \lambda_F/2)$.

$\mathcal{E}_{\min} = \{E_2, \dots, E_k, E_{12}, \dots, E_{1k}\}$ where $E_{1j} = L - E_1 - E_j$.

Case (3b): $\delta_2 > \delta_3 = \dots = \delta_k = \lambda - \delta_1 - \delta_2$.

$\mathcal{E}_{\min} = \{E_{12}, E_3, \dots, E_k\}$.

Technical Lemma used to identify \mathcal{E}_{\min} :

Assume

$$k \geq 3;$$

$$(M, \omega) = (M_k, \omega_{\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}) \text{ for } (\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) \text{ reduced};$$

J compatible almost complex structure on (M, ω) .

Assume

$A \in H_2(M)$ is represented by a J -sphere; $c_1(TM)(A) \geq 1$.

Then

$$\int_A \omega \geq \delta_k.$$

Uniqueness of reduced form - sketch of proof

Given $\omega = \omega_{\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k}$ and $\omega' = \omega_{\lambda'; \delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_k}$.
 $(\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k), (\lambda'; \delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_k)$ reduced.

A symplectomorphism $\psi: (M_k, \omega) \rightarrow (M_k, \omega')$
takes $\mathcal{E}_{\min} := \mathcal{E}_{\min}(M_k, \omega)$ to $\mathcal{E}_{\min}' := \mathcal{E}_{\min}(M_k, \omega')$.

- ▶ If not every two classes in \mathcal{E}_{\min} are disjoint:
 - ▶ same for \mathcal{E}_{\min}' .
 - ▶ count maximal disjoint subset \Rightarrow both are case (1b) or both are case (2b).
 - ▶ same classical invariants $\Rightarrow (\lambda; \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) = (\lambda'; \delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_k)$.
- ▶ If every two classes in \mathcal{E}_{\min} are disjoint:
 - ▶ same for \mathcal{E}_{\min}' .
 - ▶ blow down along disjoint embedded symplectic spheres in $\mathcal{E}_{\min}, \mathcal{E}_{\min}'$.
 - ▶ apply induction hypothesis.
- ▶ Base case: works when $k = 2$. (use blowdown along E_{12} .)

Thank you.